1	
2	
3	
4	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
5	
6	
7	NORMA GONSALVES,
8	Presiding Officer
9	
10	
11	
12	PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND THE ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE
13	
14	
15	
16	LAURA SCHAEFER, Chairwoman
17	
18	
19	
20	1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York
21	
22	
23	Monday, May 4, 2015
24	3:27 P.M
25	

1																														
2																														
3	<u>A</u>	F	?	Р		Ε		Α		R		Α		N	С	Ε	S	_:												
4	т	7\ T	т Б	- 7\		C	C	TT	7\	T.	T.	7.7	D																	
5	. بلا	J A O	h									ட	Л	′																
6	D	E 1	NN 1i										2	n																
7	ח	E N									_	111	а	11																
8		T E									ח	C																		
9																														
10		U I R a						А	C	U	D	S	′																	
11	С.	ΑF	R R	ΙI	Ε		S	0	L	Α	G	Ε	S																	
12	L.	ΑĮ	JR	λ		С	U	R	R	Α	N													πl	. :	+	. +	_	5	`
13	TaT	т т	- т	т	7\	ъл		т			ъл	тт							_	g	g	 	- V	V I	בו	L L	. L	O	n	,
14	VV	ΙΙ	1																u	r	е									
15																														
16																														
17																														
18																														
19																														
20																														
21																														
22																														
23																														
24																														
25																														

1	
2	LIST OF SPEAKERS
3	
4	NICK SERANDIS, Deputy County Attorney6
5	KEVIN WALSH, Nassau County Real Estate Office11
6	Real Estate Office
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Planning 8	Devel	lopmen	t Co	mmitt	ee/5-4	4 - 1 5
2		CHAIR	W O M A N	SCHA	EFER:	At ·	this
3	time I wil	l call	the P	lann	ing,	Devel	opment
4	and Enviror	nment (Commit	tee	to or	der.	I'11
5	ask the cle	erk to	pleas	еса	ll th	e rol	1.
6		CLERK	MULLE	R:	Legis	lator	Jacobs,
7	is somebody	y subs	tituti	ng f	or Le	gisla	tor
8	Curran?						
9		LEGIS	LATOR	JACO	BS:	Legis	lator
10	DeRiggi-Wh	itton.					
11		CLERK	MULLE	R:	Legis	lator	
12	DeRiggi-Wh	itton?					
13		LEGIS	LATOR	DERI	GGI-W	НІТТОІ	N :
14	Here.						
15		CLERK	MULLE	R:	Legis	lator	
16	Solages?						
17		LEGIS	LATOR	SOLA	GES:	Here	
18		CLERK	MULLE	R:	Ranki	ng Mei	mber
19	Jacobs?						
20		LEGIS	LATOR	JACO	BS:	Here.	
21		CLERK	MULLE	R:	Legis	lator	Rhoads?
22		LEGIS	LATOR	RHOA	DS:	Here.	
23		CLERK	MULLE	R:	Legis	lator	Ford?
24		LEGISI	LATOR	FORD	: He	re.	

CLERK MULLER: Vice Chairman

25

- 2 Dunne?
- 3 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.
- 4 CLERK MULLER: Chairwoman
- 5 Schaefer?
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Here.
- 7 CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: We have
- 9 three items on the agenda. Clerk Item
- 10 140-15 is an ordinance making certain
- 11 determinations pursuant to the State
- 12 Environmental Quality Review Act and
- 13 authorizing the county executive of the
- 14 County of Nassau to accept on behalf of the
- 15 County of Nassau an offer of purchase from
- 16 the Dapice Trust of certain premises located
- 17 in New York Park, County of Nassau, State of
- 18 New York, said property known as part of
- 19 Section 8, Block 211-12, Lot 101 on the land
- 20 and tax map of the County of Nassau, and to
- 21 accept on behalf of the County of Nassau an
- 22 offer of purchase from Salvatore and
- 23 Patricia Lupo of certain premises located in
- 24 New Hyde Park, County of Nassau, State of
- New York, said property known as part of

Planning 8	i Develoj	oment Commi	ittee/	′5-4-15
------------	-----------	-------------	--------	---------

- 2 Section 8, Block 211-12, Lot 101 on the land
- 3 and tax map of the County of Nassau and
- 4 authorizing the county executive to execute
- 5 a deed contract of sale and all pertinent
- 6 documents in connection therewith to
- 7 consummate the sale.
- 8 Can I have a motion?
- 9 LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FORD: Second.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Motion by
- 12 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
- 13 Ford. The item is before us. Is there
- 14 anyone here to speak on this item?
- MR. SERANDIS: Nicholas Serandis,
- 16 Deputy County Attorney. This is the sale of
- 17 property which is adjacent to the two
- 18 homeowners that are listed in the ordinance.
- 19 The county had offered the
- 20 property for sale to the adjacent homeowners
- 21 as it is surplus property and not
- 22 necessarily for county use.
- The owners have agreed to accept
- 24 the sale of these properties for the prices
- 25 that are listed on the staff summary. They

- 2 are both very small properties which won't
- 3 affect the buildability in the not buildable
- 4 lots. The sales were in accordance with the
- 5 county and there was a planning commission
- 6 action to subdivide the lot so it was one
- 7 continuous lot and now it would have been
- 8 subdivided.
- 9 We are asking approval for the
- 10 sale so that the property can go out of
- 11 county control and be added to the tax rolls
- 12 of the county.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Is there
- 14 any debate or discussion on this?
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just one,
- 16 Madam Chairwoman.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Legislator
- 18 Rhoads.
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I do note in
- 20 the backup that OSPAC had actually passed a
- 21 verbal resolution recommending the sale of
- 22 the entire lot. I know that it has been
- 23 subdivided, but is the entire lot being
- 24 sold?
- 25 MR. SERANDIS: No. The lot was

- 2 behind three homeowners. Two lots were
- 3 being sold to the adjacent homeowners, the
- 4 other lot being retained by the county, the
- 5 adjacent homeowner did not want to purchase
- 6 the lot.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think the
- 8 concern, having sat on OSPAC, I know this is
- 9 June of 2012, so I'm kind of straining my
- 10 memory on this one, if I remember the
- 11 property correctly, I think the concern is
- 12 that the residual piece of county property
- 13 would be a lot that we would not be able to
- 14 gain access to, which is why we recommended
- 15 the sale of the entire lot.
- MR. SERANDIS: We did go through
- 17 DPW and they didn't have any issue regarding
- 18 that and neither did the Planning Commission
- 19 at the time.
- LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Well, just
- 21 the concern is, if it is in fact that lot,
- 22 I'm assuming we don't have any kind of photo
- 23 of the overview of the area, the concern is
- 24 that the county would own a piece of
- 25 property that we wouldn't be able to get

- 2 access to it if something happened on that
- 3 piece of property.
- 4 I thought the concern was the
- 5 potential for liability if someone were to
- 6 enter that property and get hurt.
- 7 MR. SERANDIS: That is a concern
- 8 and what we'll attempt to do is to offer the
- 9 property back to sale to that owner or the
- 10 owner directly adjacent to see if we can
- 11 sell the property in the future.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think
- 13 that's why the suggestion was made that it
- 14 all be done at the same time.
- MR. SERANDIS: At that point
- 16 there was a proposal that somebody was going
- 17 to purchase the entire lot, and they would
- 18 do that proposal, and then it was determined
- 19 that the lot should be subdivided.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So the
- 21 intention is to go back at this point to the
- two potential purchasers?
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I believe
- 24 it's always the intention of the county to
- 25 try to get rid of all surplus lots. We run

- 2 into this problem very often because
- 3 occasionally we have a long strip of
- 4 property behind several lots that some of
- 5 the homeowners want to purchase and some
- 6 don't, and we do attempt to sell the
- 7 property to all of the adjoining owners so
- 8 that the county is not left with what is
- 9 essentially a land locked piece.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Any
- 11 comments from any other legislators?
- 12 Legislator Jacobs.
- 13 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Just sort of
- 14 piggybacking on what Legislator Rhoads just
- 15 said. I'm confused also. If that doesn't
- 16 happen, then passage of this through, and I
- 17 realize today is not Full Leg, so that
- 18 there's still two weeks to go, but that's a
- 19 real problem if you don't have access, if
- 20 something goes wrong there.
- MR. SERANDIS: It does
- 22 occasionally become a problem and there are
- 23 many lots in the county which are land
- 24 locked lots with the county or the towns or
- 25 villages or other individuals that have

- 2 access problems, and that's why we attempt
- 3 to sell as many of these lots as we can. As
- 4 you might be aware, the county owns, of
- 5 these adjacent lots, we probably own 500 to
- 6 1,000 pieces all throughout the county.
- 7 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Let me ask a
- 8 two edge question. Number one, I'm making
- 9 an assumption, which is never a good idea,
- 10 that this lot is green grass, or weeds or
- 11 something; am I correct?
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Legislator
- 13 Jacobs, if it's the proposal I'm thinking
- 14 of, I believe it's a wooded area.
- 15 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Wooded area.
- MR. WALSH: Yes. Kevin Walsh,
- 17 Nassau County Real Estate Office. This area
- 18 is in New Hyde Park. I think it is an
- 19 unimproved lot. Another option that I would
- 20 certainly look at in the situation that you
- 21 raise is a good point. If there is some
- 22 sort of land lock property, that we would be
- 23 sure to at least obtain an easement before
- 24 we would transfer the property, an access
- 25 easement so we can get to our lot.

- 2 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: But then one
- 3 of these people, in this particular two
- 4 parcels we're talking about, would have to
- 5 agree that they would allow an easement if
- 6 it's needed?
- 7 MR. WALSH: Yes. I will request
- 8 to get at least an access easement to get
- 9 access from one of the two if necessary.
- 10 Keep in mind, I think you raise a
- 11 good point. Many times with these small
- 12 lots behind a strip like Nick pointed out
- 13 often times these people are already
- 14 maintaining it, cutting the grass, et
- 15 cetera, that's usually the situation.
- 16 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I'm just
- 17 worried because if you don't have that, and
- 18 like Legislator Rhoads said, and someone is
- 19 hurt, or if a tree dies or whatever, I think
- 20 that's pretty important that we know within
- 21 the next two weeks.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Madam
- 23 Chairwoman, if Legislator Jacobs is finished
- 24 with her question, if I may, I believe we do
- 25 have the option to actually put that into,

- 2 as a condition of the sale into the
- 3 approval, so that our approval itself would
- 4 be conditioned upon there being some sort of
- 5 easement to allow us onto the property.
- 6 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I would
- 7 definitely agree with you. If that would be
- 8 done, that would make it a much easier thing
- 9 to pass.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Is that
- 11 something that you normally do?
- MR. WALSH: It's not always the
- 13 situation we have, a lot that could be
- 14 created that's land locked. And I'm not 100
- 15 percent sure it is, but we can certainly
- 16 speak to both owners to allow us to get
- 17 access.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: If you can
- 19 clarify that for us. I think it's a great
- 20 suggestion to have that in the contract
- 21 before we make any transfer.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: This is all
- 23 kind of based upon sort of my recollection
- 24 of what we passed three years ago. Is there
- 25 any chance, because I know for most of these

- 2 properties there is at least some sort of
- 3 photo of what we're dealing with on a map.
- 4 Is it possible to get that to us in the next
- 5 two weeks so that we can have it? Is it in
- 6 the backup? Okay. Never mind.
- 7 MR. WALSH: We can create a GIS
- 8 map for it certainly, send it. I think we
- 9 did submit perhaps a tax map, and get a GIS
- 10 as well.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Thank you.
- 12 Legislator Ford, did you have a question?
- 13 LEGISLATOR FORD: I would just
- 14 like to have clarification too on the
- 15 property that's land locked.
- 16 Who will maintain it while you go
- 17 through the process of trying to see if the
- 18 residents will perhaps purchase it?
- 19 MR. SERANDIS: Technically, until
- 20 the county is out of title, the county
- 21 maintains the property. But like Kevin
- 22 said, most of the times when we have these
- 23 properties that are adjacent to people's
- 24 houses, people are already using them and
- 25 are cutting the lawns, cleaning the leaves,

Planning &	Development	Committee/	15-4-15
------------	-------------	------------	---------

- 2 whatever maintenance is done.
- 3 Like I said, we have a 500 to
- 4 1,000 pieces of these properties which we
- 5 attempt to identify and sell on a regular
- 6 basis so to alleviate those issues both from
- 7 a standpoint of getting additional revenue
- 8 for the county but also to eliminate some of
- 9 the maintenance responsibilities falling on
- 10 DPW or parks or other agencies of the
- 11 county.
- 12 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then you
- 13 will actually reach out to the residents who
- 14 purchased the two other properties then?
- MR. SERANDIS: Yes.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Any other
- 18 comments from the legislators?
- 19 (No verbal response.)
- Is there any public comment?
- 21 (No verbal response.)
- 22 All those in favor of this item
- 23 please signify by saying aye.
- 24 (Aye.)
- 25 Any opposed?

	Planning & Development Committee/5-4-15
2	(No verbal response.)
3	The item passes unanimously.
4	Next we have Clerk Item 158-15,
5	an ordinance making certain determinations
6	pursuant to the State Environmental Quality
7	Review Act and authorizing the County
8	Executive on behalf of the County of Nassau
9	to execute a release and relocation of an
10	easement for drainage purpose between the
11	County of Nassau and Vincent Dejana situated
12	in the Village of Lattingtown, Town of
13	Oyster Bay, County of Nassau, New York.
14	Motion, please.
15	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
16	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Second.
17	CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Moved by
18	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
19	Rhoads. This item is before us. Can you
20	speak on this item as well?
21	MR. SERANDIS: Yes. Nicholas
22	Serandis again, Deputy County Attorney.

Basically this is a very large piece of

issue, drainage that goes over several

property in Lattingtown which has a drainage

REGAL REPORTING SERVICE (516) 747-7353

23

24

25

- 2 parcels. At some point it was determined by
- 3 DPW and by the property owner that the
- 4 drainage, because of the relocation of the
- 5 streams and drainage system underneath, that
- 6 the drainage was actually located on a
- 7 different portion of the property than where
- 8 the original easement was given.
- 9 So, after much study it was
- 10 determined by engineers that instead of the
- 11 easement being in the site where it is
- 12 presently located, it would be relocated to
- 13 the site where the actual drainage issues
- 14 may exist, and therefore release part of the
- 15 easement, release that part of the easement
- 16 and be granted an easement over the other
- 17 portion of the property, which has the
- 18 drainage system which can be accessed by the
- 19 Department of Public Works if that becomes
- 20 necessary.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Any
- 22 comments from the legislators?
- LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just one,
- 24 Deputy County Attorney Serandis, what was
- 25 OSPAC's recommendation on this?

Planning 8	i Develoj	oment Commi	ittee/	′5-4-15
------------	-----------	-------------	--------	---------

- 2 MR. SERANDIS: It was a negative
- 3 declaration, that it was proposed action --
- 4 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I got it. I
- 5 saw it in there.
- MR. SERANDIS: You see it now?
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Yes. Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Any other
- 10 comments? Legislator Jacobs.
- 11 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Nick, is
- 12 there a reason why the Town of Oyster Bay --
- MR. SERANDIS: Whose idea?
- 14 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: No. I'm
- 15 asking you --
- 16 MR. SERANDIS: It's in the
- 17 village of Lattingtown and basically we were
- 18 approached by the property owner because he
- 19 wants to build something over the area which
- 20 is currently encumbered by the easement, so
- 21 he wanted to move it and after much study it
- 22 was determined that instead of flowing
- 23 against the edge of the property as opposed
- 24 to the middle of the property. And the town
- 25 is not involved at all because it's not a

- 2 town property or anything of that nature.
- 3 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Can I ask you
- 4 a question? There had to be a study with
- 5 this, right? So, who did the study? Who
- 6 knows if we move it if we're not creating
- 7 flooding or whatever. I'm just wondering.
- MR. SERANDIS: Right now, based
- 9 upon the flow of the stream, which I gather
- 10 had been in existence for many many years,
- 11 that there is no need to access the place
- 12 where the easement chose to be discontinued,
- 13 but there will be a need to access, and
- 14 basically the easement is really for access
- 15 more than digging purposes so we have access
- 16 in case there happens to be an issue that
- 17 comes up.
- 18 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Does the
- 19 village have anything to do with this; are
- 20 they in agreement with what's going on or do
- 21 they know about it?
- MR. SERANDIS: Well, the easement
- 23 agreement is going to be recorded and it
- 24 will be -- it will have a different meets
- 25 and bounds description of the easement that

- 2 was -- originally the easement was like on
- 3 an entire property. The easement flows like
- 4 between four properties and the adjacent
- 5 stream. So it meanders through many
- 6 different properties. That was the request
- 7 by the property owner.
- 8 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I was just
- 9 going to ask you that. So the property
- 10 owner requested this?
- MR. SERANDIS: Yes. The property
- 12 owner requested that the easement be removed
- 13 as to one area, and based upon the
- 14 determination by DPW and our expert, that it
- 15 was determined that we did have to maintain
- 16 an easement over a different portion of the
- 17 property.
- 18 LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Any other
- 21 comments from any other legislators?
- 22 (No verbal response.)
- Is there any public discussion on
- 24 this item?
- 25 (No verbal response.)

	Planning & Development Committee/5-4-15
2	There being none, all those in
3	favor of this item signify by saying aye.
4	(Aye.)
5	Any opposed?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	The item passes.
8	Our last item is Clerk Item
9	161-15, an ordinance making certain
10	determinations pursuant to the State
11	Environmental Quality Review Act and
12	authorizing the County Executive on behalf
13	of the County of Nassau to accept on behalf
14	of the County of Nassau an offer of purchase
15	from Thomas Passalacqua and Kathlyn Daly of
16	certain premises located in the Village of
17	Malverne, County of Nassau, State of New
18	York, said property known as Section 37,
19	Block 213, Lots 1 and 2, on the Land and Tax
20	Map of the County of Nassau and authorizing
21	the County Executive to execute deeds,
22	contracts of sale and all pertinent

Motion, please.

consummate the sale.

24

23 documents in connection therewith to

Planning & Development	Committee/5-4-15
------------------------	------------------

- LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Second.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Moved by
- 5 Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator
- 6 Rhoads.
- 7 MR. SERANDIS: This is another
- 8 direct sale of property which was approved
- 9 by OSPAC and Planning which is the property
- 10 adjacent to the property owner who owned
- 11 lots three and four and, again, and we
- 12 identified it as county property. The
- 13 gentleman submitted a proposal. The
- 14 property owner submitted a proposal to try
- 15 to get the property, it was appraised, and
- 16 the value of the -- and as a result, we are
- 17 trying to sell the property again to add it
- 18 to the tax roll, reduce the county's
- 19 maintenance responsibilities and, unlike the
- 20 other parcels, there is no issues as to land
- 21 locked parcels, and there are no adjacent
- 22 owners, the property being adjacent to the
- 23 Long Island Railroad.
- LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Any
- 25 comments from the legislators on this item?

	Planning & Development Committee/5-4-15
2	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just a
3	question, Madam Chairwoman. With respect to
4	OSPAC or the Planning Commission, were there
5	any restrictions, any conditions on the
6	approval?
7	MR. SERANDIS: I don't believe
8	there was any restrictions on the property
9	use, and, again, it doesn't create another
10	buildable lot for the homeowner. The review
11	of the environmental impact assessment form
12	doesn't indicate there were any restrictions
13	on the property.
14	CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Are other
15	comments from the legislators?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	Is there any public discussion?
18	(No verbal response.)
19	There being none, all those in
20	favor of this item signify by saying aye.
21	(Aye.)
22	Any opposed?

Thank you, Mr. Serandis. There

23

24

The item passes unanimously.

(No verbal response.)

	Ρl	a	n n	i	n	g	&		D	е	V	е	1	0	p i	m	e :	n	t		С	0 :	m r	n :	i t	t	: ∈	e e	/	5	_	4 -	- 1	5	
2	is	n	0	0	t	h	er		b	u	s	i	n	е	S	S		b	е	f	0	r	е		t l	n i	i s	3	С	0	m	m :	Ĺτ	t	ее
3	m a y	7	I	h	. a	v	е	а		m	0	t	i	0	n		t	0		a	d	j	0	u :	rı	n S	?								
4								L	Ε	G	Ι	S	L	Α	Т	0	R		D '	U	N	N	Ε	:		Š	3 0)	m	0	V	е	d .		
5								L	E	G	Ι	S	L	Α	Т	0	R		R	Η	0	Α	D	S	:		Š	S e	e C	0	n	d.			
6								С	Н	Α	Ι	R	W	0	M	Α	N		S	С	Н	Α	Ε	F:	Ε]	3 :	:		M	0	V	е	Ĺ	b	У
7	Leg	ſί	sl	. a	t	0	r	D	u	n	n	е	,		S	е	С	0	n	d	е	d		b į	У	Ι	. ∈	e 9	ſί	S	1	a t	. c	r	
8	Rhc	a	d s				Αl	. 1		i	n		f	a	V	0	r		0	n		a	d	j '	0 1	11	c r	ni	. n	g	?				
9								(Α	У	е)																						
10								Α	n	У		0	р	р	0	S	е	d	?																
11								(N	0		V	е	r	b	a	1		r	е	s	р	0	n	s e	€.	.))							
12								W	е		a	r	е		a	d	j	0	u	r	n	е	d												
13								(W	h	е	r	е	u	р	0	n	,		t	h	е		P.	1 a	a r	ı r	ni	n	g	,				
14	Dev	е	10	p	m	е	n t		a	n	d		t	h	е		Ε	n	V	i	r	0	n:	m (e i	n t	_	C	0	m	m	іt	: t	: е	е
15	аdj	0	u r	n	е	d	â	ιt		3	:	4	7		р		m)																
16																																			
17																																			
18																																			
19																																			
20																																			
21																																			
22																																			
23																																			
24																																			
25																																			

1								
2	<u>C</u>	E	R '	T I	F I	С	АТ	<u>E</u>
3								
4								
5	I, FRANK	G F	RAY	, a	Sho	rth	and	Reporter and
6	Notary Public	ir	n a	nd f	or	the	Sta	te of New
7	York, do herel	b y	st	ated	d :			
8	THAT I a	tt∈	end (ed a	at t	hе	time	and place
9	above mention	e d	an	d to	ook	ste	nogr	aphic record
10	of the proceed	dir	ngs	in	the	a b	ove-	entitled
11	matter;							
12	THAT the	fc	ore	goir	ng t	ran	scri	pt is a true
13	and accurate	tra	ans	crip	ot o	f t	he s	ame and the
14	whole thereof	, ć	a C C	ordi	n g	to	the	best of my
15	ability and be	eli	ief	•				
16	IN WITNE	SS	WHI	EREC)F,	I h	ave	hereunto set
17	my hand this	18t	th (day	of	Мау	, 20	15.
18								
19								
20						FRA	NK G	RAY
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								